

242

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-30542 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.12.2017

Umed Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- None for petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Raj Kumar, states that parties have compromised the dispute and copy of compromise has been supplied to the police. However, they had filed a complaint.

None has appeared for petitioner.

As such, petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, if petitioners still want to file a fresh FIR, they are advised to approach the learned Illaqa Magistrate with appropriate complaint.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.12.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No