

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29604-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.10.2017

Naveen Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Kuldeep.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking bail in FIR No.153 dated 08.04.2017, registered under Section 306 read Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Civil Lines, Sonipat.

Contends that the marriage in question is more than ten-year old. Further refers to the suicide note wherein it has been stated that nobody is responsible for her death. The petitioner is in custody since 09.04.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the instant petition. On instructions, learned State counsel submits that specific allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. The charges are yet to be framed.

Heard.

Keeping in view the facts that the marriage in question was solemnized more than 10 years prior to the incident; the petitioner is in custody since 09.04.2017; and the charges are yet to be framed, thus, it can

safely be inferred that the conclusion of trial may take a considerable time; without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

09.10.2017

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No