

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-29449 of 2017
Date of Decision : 11.08.2017

Sonika and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Robin Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 6.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 to 4 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 5 to 13.

It is stated in the petition that the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 is 12.05.1997 and petitioner No. 2 is 28.08.1995. Copy of matriculation certificate and affidavit of petitioner No.1 are annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-1/A and copy of Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 is annexed as Annexure P-2. The photographs in this regard are annexed as Annexure P-3. It is further stated in the petition that the petitioners had also made a representation dated 09.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) to the Superintendent of Police, Hissar but no action has so far been taken thereon.

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Tanuj Sharma, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another*, JT 2006(6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Hissar to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

August 11, 2017.

kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ? Yes/No

Whether reportable ? Yes/No