

CRM NO. M-29291 of 2017 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-29291 of 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 21.09.2017

Raman Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Sandhu, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 88, dated 26.10.2016, under Sections 452, 379, 323, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in the FIR no specific role has been attributed to the petitioners and they have been arraigned as accused only because they are relatives of the accused-Kashmir Singh, whose grand-daughter is married to the son of the complainant and their relations are stated to be strained.

This Court vide order dated 16.08.2017, had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the

conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI HC Sukhwinder Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 16.08.2017 the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 16.08.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 21, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>