

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- 29251-2017(O&M)

Date of decision: 14.12.2017

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Vijay Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Pawan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
Mr.Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate for respondent nos.4 to 7

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

Reply of the State perused.

It is stated that during investigation and examination of CCTV footage, it is found that gold chain and currency notes were not snatched. However, chain broken during scuffle was taken away by the accused. Therefore, offence under Section 323, 379 and 506 IPC were added. It is also stated that in compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, respondent nos.6 to 8 were arrested and released on bail to the satisfaction of the investigating officer. It shows that during investigation the police has taken a particular view on the basis of CCTV footage and investigation.

It being so, there is no ground to transfer the investigation to

any other authority. Let State complete the investigation within two months and submit the final report before the trial Court.

The present petition stands disposed of.

14.12.2017
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No