

**208-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2924-2017  
Date of decision-16.03.2017**

**Mandeep  
Vs.  
State of Haryana**

**...Petitioner  
  
...Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Yashpal Marken, Advocate for  
Mr. Sunny Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.367, dated 23.09.2016, registered under Sections 323, 341 and 365 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Scheduled Caste and the Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (in short 'the Act') and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that in pursuance of the order dated 01.02.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions states that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, he is not cooperating.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone

through the case file.

Considering the fact that despite repeated opportunities, the petitioner has failed to cooperate with the investigating agency therefore, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)  
JUDGE

March 16, 2017  
vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |