

210.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29198-2017

Date of decision:15.11.2017.

SANDEEP SINGH @ SONU

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.67 dated 16.04.2017 under Section 22 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Division No.1, District Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as per the FIR, the alleged recovery is 70 injections of Buprenorphine injection IP Omegesic 2 ml and 70 injections of Pheniramine Maleate Injection IPC AVIL 10 ml. He further states that so far as the recovery of 70 injections of Buprenorphine is concerned, the same falls under non-commercial quantity, whereas 70 injections of Pheniramine Maleate injection, does not fall under NDPS Act.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar, has brought to the notice of this Court that apart from the present FIR, the petitioner is involved in two more cases under the NDPS Act. He is also involved in other cases as well, the details of which is as under:-

- “i. FIR No.159 dated 27.08.2011 under Section 20 of NDPS Act, Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar;
- ii. FIR No.85 dated 24.05.2012 under Sections 382, 34 IPC, Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar;
- iii. FIR No.41 dated 17.03.2012 under Sections 382, 34 IPC, Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar.
- iv. FIR No.111 dated 18.06.2013 under Sections 382, 34 IPC, Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar.
- v. FIR No.112 dated 18.06.213 under Section 21 of NDPS Act, Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar.”

He further states that though in FIR No.111 dated 18.06.2013, the petitioner was acquitted vide judgment dated 17.01.2017, but in rest of the FIRs, he has already been convicted by the trial Court.

In view of peculiar track record of the petitioner, no case for grant of bail is made out.

Accordingly, present petition is dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

15.11.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No