

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-29181 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 26.09.2017**

Jasvir Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. R. K. Handa, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.86 dated 21.06.2017 under Sections 353/186/294/506/149 IPC and Sections 3 & 4 (i) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered at Police Station Jodhan, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations against the petitioners do not *prima facie* constitute an offence under Sections 3 & 4 (i) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and FIR has been registered after over six months on 21.06.2017, although the occurrence is of 05.12.2016.

This Court by the order dated 10.08.2017, had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of

their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Rupinder Singh, states that in pursuance to the order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 10.08.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 26, 2017
shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO