

203.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29127-2017

Date of decision:12.10.2017.

MANPREET SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. *(Oral*

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.113 dated 27.10.2015 under Section 295-A IPC and Section 66 of Information and Technology Act, (Section 67-A of Information and Technology Act added lateron), registered at Police Station Division No.1, Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 10.08.2017 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balwinder Kumar, does not dispute the aforesaid fact and states that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no more required at this stage.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no more required, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 10.08.2017 is made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

12.10.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.