

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CrI. Misc. No. M-2909 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: April 3, 2017

Vikas @ Vicky

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr.Sajjan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms.Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Amit Khatkar, Advocate, for
Mr.Manoj Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit dated 29.3.2017 filed by the learned
State counsel in Court today is taken on record.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Code of
Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No.381
dated 28.9.2013 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings
arising therefrom, registered for offences punishable under Sections 324,
452, 506 Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') at Police Station, Shivaji
Colony, Rohtak on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter has
since been settled vide compromise, copy of which has been placed on file

as Annexure P-2 .

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant endorsed the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and has no objection if the impugned FIR (Annexure P-1) is quashed.

Learned State counsel has also not disputed compromise (Annexure P-2).

In order to verify the veracity and genuineness of the settlement between the parties, they were directed to appear before the trial court and get their statements recorded. The trial court has sent its report dated 10.3.2017 stating therein that the compromise has been effected between the complainant and the accused which appears to be voluntary in nature and without any pressure or influence.

Keeping all the above facts in view, I am of the considered opinion that it is a fit case in which the impugned FIR should be quashed. Keeping the case pending will not serve the ends of justice. The quashing of the FIR will provide the parties to this petition an opportunity to live in an amicable, peaceful and harmonious atmosphere which is not only in the interest of the parties but also for their families and ultimately the society at large.

For the reasons as discussed above, the instant petition is allowed and the impugned FIR No.381 dated 28.9.2013 (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, qua petitioner, is quashed.

April 3, 2017

Paritosh Kumar

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE