

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29877-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.08.2017

CHARANJIT SHARMA AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gulzar Mohd., Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 6 and 7.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has stated that there was a civil dispute between the petitioners and the private respondents regarding an agreement. To force the petitioners to refund earnest money, they are being pressurized and harassed as they were being called to Police Station time and again.

However, during the pendency of the present petition, an FIR is stated to be registered against the petitioners.

In the circumstances, the petitioner can avail the remedy of the quashing of the FIR, if they so desire. However, if the police still want to question the petitioners in connection with the aforesaid FIR, the same will be done only by way of issuing notice under Section 160 Cr. P.C.

In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

August 24, 2017

Suresh Kumar

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :

✓
Yes / No

Whether Reportable :

✓
Yes / No