

264 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 28912 of 2017
Date of Decision: 19.09.2017**

Amit Jaglan and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory (U.T.), Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Rajender Singh Malik, Advocate,
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, A.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh,
for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Pavleen Kaur, Advocate, for
Ms. Kiranjeet Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No. 105, dated 06.07.2017, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sector 3, (North) Chandigarh, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending in the Court of the Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-J.M.I.C., Chandigarh, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. During pendency of petition, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which

is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-J.M.I.C., Chandigarh, vide report dated 25.08.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel.

[4]. In view of the report of the Civil Judge (Junior Division)-cum-J.M.I.C., Chandigarh, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No. 105, dated 06.07.2017, under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sector 3, (North) Chandigarh, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

19.09.2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No