

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29662 of 2016

Date of Decision: April 26, 2017

Pankaj and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Brar, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Rakhi Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Learned State counsel submits the petitioner No.2 Simran has since joined the investigations and that nothing is to be recovered from her and has not opposed the grant of bail to her.

Though, learned counsel for the complainant has sought to oppose the grant of bail to the petitioner No.2 Simran on the grounds that the applicability of Sections 148 and 149 IPC is there.

Keeping in view that the petitioner No.2 has since joined the investigations and that the very applicability of Sections 148 and 149 IPC shall be determined at the time of trial, petitioner being a lady and that nothing is to be recovered from her, *ad interim* orders dated 19.09.2016

passed by this Court are made absolute on the same terms and conditions. However, the prayer qua petitioner No.1 Pankaj seeking anticipatory bail already stands declined vide order dated 19.09.2016.

The petition stands disposed off.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 26, 2017
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No