

CRM-M-29650-2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr.No.255)

CRM-M-29650-2016

Date of Decision:-May 15, 2017

Gurlal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.47 dated 29.07.2016 registered under Sections 307 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Valtoha, District Tarn Taran the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for the complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated August 26, 2016 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from

the Amandeep Singh Ghuman, Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patti showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.47 dated 29.07.2016 registered under Sections 307 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Valtoha, District Tarn Taran is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 15, 2017

pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No