

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-28786 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.08.2017

Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Kumbha Ram.

Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 197 dated 31.05.2016, registered under Sections 148, 307, 323, 325, 506 read with Section 149 of IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

It is contended that in the disclosure statement of co-accused Sachin @ Tony, he has admitted that he was the one who caused injuries on the person of injured-Vinod @ Manoj who allegedly received gun shot injuries. No specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner and he is in custody since 15.06.2016.

The learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel

for the complainant oppose the bail application and state that petitioner along with co-accused caused injuries on the person of injured.

Heard.

During the course of arguments, the learned counsel for the complainant has circulated a medical certificate reflecting the condition of the injured-Vinod @ Manoj which reads as under:-

“This is to certify that Mr. Vinod (Manoj) S/o Sh. Vishwamitra, age-28 years/M, R/o Khjuri Jatti, District Fatehabad was admitted in our hospital for fire arm injury head (left frontal lobe contusion with bullet in situ) with fracture left ulna, aphasia and right hemiparesis, complete loss of memory and impaired neurologically on 31.05.2016 and discharged on 21.06.2016. At present, patient with remote memory deficits.”

In view of the report and the fact that this is the second bail application and there is no favourable circumstance in favour of the petitioner, therefore, the present petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.08.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No