

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-2870 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.05.2017

Vikas Sharma & others

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. V.K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Vijay Partap Singh, Advocate for respondent no.2.

\*\*\*

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking quashing of F.I.R. No.3 dated 5.1.2017 under sections 279, 337, 427, 506, 34 I.P.C registered at Police Station, Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar.

Since quashing was sought on the basis of compromise, this Court on 22.2.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate concerned for recording of their statements in support of the compromise and a report as regards veracity of the compromise was called for.

Placed on record is a report dated 15.3.2017 of the learned J.M.I.C., Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhari and a perusal thereof would reveal that the statements of the complainant Sarita Nanda as also of the accused/petitioners herein namely Vikas Sharma, Gaurav and Sat Parkash have been duly recorded and it has been opined that a compromise has been effected voluntarily and without any pressure or coercion.

It is, by now, well settled that in appropriate cases, this Court in exercise of power under Section 482 Cr.P.C would intervene to bring to an end criminal proceedings even in relation to offences, which are non-compoundable. A reference in this regard may be made to a Full Bench judgement of this Court rendered in case of **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) R.C.R (Criminal) 1052.**

Adverting back to the facts of this case, FIR has been registered in relation to an accident that took place during the late evening hours of 31.12.2016 when a car in which the accused were traveling had hit against the vehicle being driven by the complainant. Further allegations were that occupants of the offending car i.e. the present petitioners had even abused the complainant under the influence of liquor.

Concededly, the parties have arrived at a settlement.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant/respondent no.2 would also submit that he has no objection to the quashing of the impugned FIR in the light of the compromise that has been effected.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.3 dated 5.1.2017 under sections 279, 337, 427, 506, 34 I.P.C registered at Police Station, Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar and all proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed on the basis of compromise having been entered into between the parties.

Petition is allowed.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**

**09.05.2017**

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether Reportable: No