

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 28644 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.9.2017

...

Vikram Singh @ Lala @ Janu @ Chacha

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Madaan

Present: Mr. S.K. Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. D.R. Singla, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

This petition for regular bail has been filed by petitioner Vikram Singh @ Lala @ Janu @ Chacha, an accused in FIR No. 896, dated 11.11.2016, for offences under Sections 379-A, 476, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station, Sector 29, DLF, Gurugram.

Briefly stated, facts of the case are that on 10.11.2016 complainant Latif working as driver of Corolla Altis car bearing registration No. DL-3CBD-8533 of Golden Colour, had driven his employer to Sector 29 Market, Gurugram. After parking the said car,

he had gone to answer the call of nature. Suddenly, 3-4 boys caught hold of him by keeping their hands on his eyes and snatched car, Rs.2,000/- in cash and mobile phone having SIM Nos. 8860458679 and 8090743086. The matter was reported to the police. Then formal FIR was registered. During investigation of FIR No. 331 dated 18.12.2016, under Sections 384, 411 IPC and 25 of Arms Act, police of Police Station Sadar Narnaul, had effected recovery of the car in question, which was being driven by accused Vikram Singh @ Lala @ Janu @ Chacha. However, he managed to escape, but then he was arrested in another case registered vide FIR No. 440/2016, under Sections 379, 411 IPC, Police Station R.K. Puram, Delhi. He was arrested in this case also, as such he has moved application for grant of regular bail. He could not obtain bail from the Court below, as such he has approached this Court craving for grant of similar relief.

Notice of the petition was given to the State, which has put in appearance through State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel, besides going through the record of the case and I find that there is no ground to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

The allegations against the petitioner are very grave and serious that he alongwith his co-accused had snatched the car, cash, mobile phone etc. from the complainant and he could be apprehended with great difficulty. He is stated to have been involved in various other criminal cases i.e. FIR No. 180/2015, under Sections 503, 506, 34 IPC, Police Station R.K. Puram, New Delhi, FIR No. 773/2014, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 509, 354 IPC, Police Station R.K.

Puran, New Delhi, FIR No. 440/2016 under Sections 379, 411 IPC, Police Station R.K. Puram, New Delhi and FIR No. 331, dated 18.12.2016, under Sections 384, 411 IPC, 25 of Arms Act, P.S. Sadar, Narnaul. That means, he is a habitual offender. If he is released on bail there is apprehension of his trying to influence the prosecution witnesses and absconding even, taking to the path of crime again. Under these circumstances., it would not be proper and appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Thus finding no merit in the petition, the same stands dismissed.

(H.S. Madaan)
Judge

19.9.2017

chugh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether reportable

Yes/ No