

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-29491 OF 2016
DECIDED ON : 13.11.2017**

Ranvir Chand

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.48 dated 29.04.2015 under Sections 323/380/452/427/506/201 IPC registered at Police Station Passiana, District Patiala (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding between the parties which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 26.08.2016, had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patiala, has sent his report dated 24.03.2017, wherein it is stated

that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding between the parties which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.48 dated 29.04.2015 under Sections 323/380/452/427/506/201 IPC registered at Police Station Passiana, District Patiala and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 13, 2017

shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No