

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29420 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.01.2017

Ram Mehar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.62 dated 02.03.2015, registered under Section 302 read with Section 34 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sohna, District Gurgaon.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. The learned counsel refers to the statements of PW-1, Anand Kumar, PW-3, Virender and PW-4, Shubham (Annexure P-3 colly.) to contend that the material witnesses have not supported the case of the prosecution. He further states that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner. The

petitioner is 74 years old and he is suffering from various ailments.
The petitioner is in custody since 29.08.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. The petitioner is involved in another FIR No. 182 dated 15.05.2015 for murder of the complainant, Pawan Kumar in the present FIR. The material witnesses in FIR No.182 have also turned hostile.

Heard.

Though the material witnesses in the present FIR have turned hostile, however, keeping in view the specific and serious allegations against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer made in the present petition. Accordingly, no case for grant of regular bail, is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

17.01.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No