

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29363-2016
Date of decision-10.03.2017**

Naresh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.N.Lohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana assisted by
ASI Bijender Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.122 dated 22.04.2016, registered under Sections 406 and 420 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind.

On 19.10.2016 this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) states that in this case the FIR has been lodged on the basis of a forged documents.

Learned Additional Advocate General has stated that it would be appropriate if the petitioner(s) joined the investigation and give their sample signatures which may be sent for comparison to the CFSL and till such time the report comes he has no objection if the petitioner(s) are granted interim bail.

Learned counsel for petitioner(s) states that he has no objection to this course of action.

Let the petitioner(s) appear before the Investigating Officer on 04.11.2016 and the Investigating Officer shall take their sample signatures as per the prescribed rules for onward sending it to the CFSL so that the same be compared with the signatures on the receipt etc. On their appearance, the Investigating Officer shall release them

on interim bail subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To come up on 10.03.2017.

A photocopy of this order be placed on file of the connected case.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in pursuance of the order dated 19.10.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation and alleged amount so far has been recovered.

In view of the above,without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 19.10.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

March 10, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No