

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-28413 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: November 17, 2017

Harjit @ Sunny

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.72 dated 13.08.2016, under Sections 354-C, 376-D, 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 12 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Women, Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 01.10.2016. It is argued that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that statement of the complainant/prosecutrix has been recorded, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-

State, on instructions from Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail, while submitting that statement of the complainant/prosecutrix has been recorded.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the fact that statement of the complainant/prosecutrix has already been recorded, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**November 17, 2017**  
*vijay saini*

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No