
Date of Decision:06.10.2017

....Petitioners

....Respondent

that the petitioners have joined the investigation and their further custodial interrogation is not required.

However, Mr. Puneet Jindal, learned senior counsel appearing for the complainant submits that the petitioners have caused injury to a Group 'B' Officer and that too in a disciplinary force and, therefore, the petitioners are not entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties. There is no dispute that the petitioners have joined the investigation and as per State Counsel, custodial interrogation is not required at this stage. The petitioner has also suffered injury for which it has been pointed out that DDR No.45 was registered against complainant. Accordingly, the order dated 11.08.2017 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of.

However, if required, the petitioners shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

October 06, 2017
renu

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether Speaking/ Non Speaking? | Yes/No |