

**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.28359 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : August 04, 2017

Balwinder Singh Sandhu

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh Rai, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The prayer of the petitioner is that his house was attacked by the terrorists in 1990. He was provided security, which has now been withdrawn. He wants that the adequate security should be restored.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that it is for the Government to review the security provided to the private persons from time to time. Since, at present there is no terrorism in the State of Punjab, therefore, the security of the petitioner is withdrawn. *Prima facie*, the action of the State Government does not appear to be unreasonable.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 04, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No