

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31091-2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.11.2017

Jyoti Parkash

.....Petitioner

versus

Suresh Deswal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.J.S.Bhatti, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.R.K.Makkad, DAG Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed for quashing order dated 8.8.2013 (Annexure P9) passed by Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtak and dated 23.8.2013 (Annexure P10) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak.

A perusal of the order (Annexure P9) shows that prayer was made for issuing release warrants on the basis of concurrent sentence passed by this Court. Vide order dated Annexure P10, revision against the said order was dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak.

I have gone through the impugned orders.

It comes out that the Magistrate has rightly taken the view that even if concurrent running of sentence is passed, the sentence in default of payment of fine shall start in all the three complainants separately. There is no illegality of infirmity in the impugned order dated 8.8.2013 (Annexure

P9) passed by Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtak and dated 23.8.2013 (Annexure P10) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

08.11.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No