

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-29162 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 16, 2017

Sukhjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Spehia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Jasmeet S. Ghumman, Advocate
for respondent no.2

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the order dated 08.07.2016 passed by the learned trial Court (Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar), granting bail to respondent no.2 herein, is assailed on the ground that the said respondent has been specifically named in the FIR by the complainant-petitioner, attributing a specific role of having attacked his father and hit him with the handle of a spade, along with the brother of respondent no.2, who has also been attributed a similar role.

In the report filed under Section 173 Cr.P.C., respondent no.2 was found innocent but thereafter, on an application moved under Section 193 Cr.P.C., respondent no.2 was summoned vide an order of the trial Court dated 24.05.2016 (a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-6).

Thereafter, the order granting bail has been passed by the trial Court.

In the circumstances, where respondent no.2 has been summoned as an additional accused by the learned trial Court and has been admitted to bail by that Court itself, in fact, by the same presiding officer, I see no reason to interfere in the said order, there being no allegation of any threat having been meted out to the petitioner by respondent no.2.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 16, 2017
vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no