

CRM-M-28301-2017

Date of Decision : 29.08.2017

Manish

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL**Present:** Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay K. Saini, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the complainant/respondent No.2.**LISA GILL, JUDGE (ORAL)**

Petitioner prays for the concession of bail pending trial in FIR No.23 dated 19.05.2017 under Sections 376, 366-A, 506 IPC registered at Women Police Station Rewari, District Rewari.

It is submitted that the above said FIR has been registered due to certain misunderstandings between the petitioner and the complainant. The petitioner and the complainant in fact had friendly relations. They wish to get married. Moreover, the complainant in her statement before the learned trial Court on 25.08.2017 has deposed in favour of the petitioner. The alleged victim stated that she has agreed to get married with the petitioner out of her own free will without any kind of pressure. Thus, this petition be allowed.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that his client, respondent No.2 (complainant) has no objection, in case, this petition is allowed, specifically, in view of the statement suffered by her before the

learned trial Court on 25.08.2017.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Ram Kishan, verifies that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail. Trial in this case is not likely to conclude in near future.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be released on bail pending trial subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

29.08.2017
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No