

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28177 of 2017.

Decided on:-08.08.2017.

Jugraj Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Dhawaljeet Dutta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in the FIR No.72 dated 01.05.2013 under Sections 457/380/411 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Kalanaur, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 10.03.2017. Though the petitioner was on bail, but on account of his absence on certain dates of hearing, he was declared as a proclaimed person by the trial Court vide order dated 24.01.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. He does not dispute the factum that earlier the petitioner was on bail, but on account of his absence,

he was declared as a proclaimed person by the trial Court vide order dated 24.01.2017. He states that the conduct of the petitioner does not warrant bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 10.03.2017 and the conclusion of trial is likely to take sufficient long time, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

August 08, 2017
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No