

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-28130 of 2017
Date of Decision: 08.08.2017**

Sandeep

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.382 dated 19.11.2016 under Sections 323, 307, 506 IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the FIR in question has been registered at the behest of Meenakshi, who is wife of the petitioner namely Sandeep and no grievous injury has been caused by the petitioner to the complainant. In fact, there is matrimonial dispute between the parties.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Navneet Singh, submits that injuries caused to the complainant were

simple in nature, but the allegation against the petitioner is that he had tried to press the neck of the complainant.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, no serious injury has been pointed out by learned State counsel. Petitioner, who is husband of the complainant, is stated to be in custody since 15.12.2016. Considering the fact that trial in the case may take long time, I deem it appropriate to release him on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

However, it is made clear that any observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

August 08, 2017
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No