

Criminal Misc. No. M- 28097 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : December 05, 2017

Radha

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILLPresent: Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

The petitioner seeks protection of her life and liberty as she apprehends danger at the hands of respondents No. 6 to 14.

Contentions noted on behalf of the petitioner while issuing notice of motion to official respondents, read as under:-

“ It is submitted that the petitioner solemnised marriage with respondent No. 6 – Vikram in June, 2011. However, due to inhuman treatment, she left the matrimonial home but she is being pressurised and threatened by respondents No. 6 to 14 to return to her matrimonial home whereas she does not wish to live with her husband any longer. She would take appropriate steps to avail remedies as may be available to her in respect to her matrimony, however, in the present petition, she seeks protection of her life and liberty.”

As per status report dated 04.09.2017 by way of affidavit of Sh. Sultan Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Gulha on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5, it is stated that FIR No. 93 dated 30.07.2017 under Section 346 IPC was registered at the instance of respondent No. 6 wherein he reported his wife i.e. the petitioner to be missing. Suspicion was raised

against one Rajesh @ Lalu son of Sh. Jage Ram. During investigation, the petitioner appeared before the police authorities and in her statements under Section 161 Cr.P.C. as well as 164 Cr.P.C., she stated that she had left her matrimonial home due to ill-treatment at the hands of respondent No. 6 and had started residing with Rajesh son of Sh. Jage Ram out of her own free will. In this view of the matter, cancellation report was prepared on 25.08.2017.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Sanjay Kumar, Police Station Siwan, Kaithal states that the cancellation report shall be presented within three weeks. It is further stated that necessary protection shall doubtlessly be provided, in case, the petitioner raises any kind of apprehension and approaches the authorities.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

December 05, 2017
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No