

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.283-B)

CRM-M-28918-2016

Date of Decision:-April 27, 2017

Ramesh Chaurasia

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for petitioner.

In FIR No.11 dated 15.02.2013 registered under Sections 8 and 9 of the Lottery Registration Act, 1998 and Sections 294 and 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana, the petitioner is being prosecuted. From the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is clear that number of facts are involved and at any rate the entire challan will have to be looked into. The best force for the petitioner is to oppose the framing of charge and thereby wait himself discharge, if the petitioner is right in what he has contending.

In that view of the matter, the petitioner having the remedy of framing the charge against him, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to hear the petitioner on the question of framing of the charge within three months from today.

All contentions that may be raised by the learned counsel for the parties are kept open.

Disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

April 27, 2017

pankaj

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No