

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-28050-2017
Date of Decision :-7.9.2017**

Naresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Ms.Bhavna Grewal, Advocate for
Mr.S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has since put in appearance in the trial Court and has been admitted to bail.

Learned State counsel conceded this fact.

As such, the interim bail granted to the petitioner on 3.8.2017 is made absolute, subject to his fulfilling conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed accordingly.

It is clarified that the trial Court may proceed against the petitioner and his earlier surety under Section 446 Cr.P.C. and then

pass suitable orders.

7.9.2017
Brij

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No