

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-27958 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision : 09.08.2017

Savitri

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. R.S.Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

LISA GILL,J(Oral)

Petitioner, who is mother-in-law of the deceased seeks the concession of bail pending trial in FIR No.1046, dated 20.11.2016, under Sections 304-B, 34 IPC, Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar.

It is submitted that there is no specific allegation against the present petitioner. As many as six members of the in-laws family were involved in this case. Three of them were found innocent during investigation. The husband and father-in-law of the deceased are also behind the bars.

Marriage of the complainant was solemnized with the petitioner's son on 24.1.2011. They were blessed with one daughter. However, the petitioner's daughter-in-law committed suicide in

November, 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from 2011 till 2016 there is no complaint whatsoever against the petitioner in respect to demand of any dowry. Neither is there any such evidence on record. Moreover, the complainant i.e. father of the deceased, the mother, brother and uncle of the deceased have not supported the prosecution version while deposing before the learned trial Court. All the said material witnesses have been declared hostile. The petitioner, it is submitted is an old lady. She is not involved in any other case. Therefore, this petition be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Anil Kumar affirms and verifies that the complainant as well as the other three witnesses as mentioned above have not supported the prosecution version. They have been declared hostile. It is verified that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that petitioner is likely to abscond or that she is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail. Trial in this case is not likely to conclude in the near future. No useful purpose shall be served by keeping the petitioner incarcerated any longer.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition.

Consequently, the petitioner be released on bail pending trial subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction

of the learned trial Court.

It is reiterated that none of the observations made herein above are a reflection on the merits of the case and shall have no bearing on the trial.

09.08.2017

s.khan

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable:Yes/No