

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-28757 of 2016
Date of decision: 20.03.2017**

Sanaksh	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana and another		Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

REKHA MITTAL J. (Oral)

Respondent No.2 has already been served through Sandeep Goel but there is no representation on her behalf.

Concededly, the petitioner has already joined investigation. Taking into consideration the allegations raised by the complainant, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.09.2016 is made absolute subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. He shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- 3. He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.*

20.03.2017
yakub

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No