

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-28720 of 2016

Date of Decision:15.2.2017

Vijay Kumar

---Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Arshdeep Singh Kler, DAG, Punjab

Mr. S.S.Brar, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

Rekha Mittal, J.

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No. 63 dated 29.6.2013 for offence punishable under Section 498-A of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered in Police Station, Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.3.2016 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Kulwinder Kaur daughter of Mohinder Pal Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise (Annexure-2).

Vide order dated 21.10.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Garhshankar (Duty), wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State and respondent No. 2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner and respondent No. 2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '*Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*', 2012(4) *R.C.R. (Criminal)* 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 63 dated 29.6.2013 for offence punishable under Section 498-A IPC registered in Police Station, Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed, qua the petitioner.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

15.2.2017
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No