

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

Crl. Misc. M 28664 of 2016
Date of decision: 30.03.2017

Jitender and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Ms Bhagyashiri, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana

Mr. Jagdeep S Rana, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J.

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (in short, 'Cr.P.C.'), the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No. 0024 dated 30.09.2015 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 323 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short, 'IPC') registered with Police Station Bhiwani District Bhiwani and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.07.2016 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Counsel for the petitioners states that petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 have mutually resolved their differences and residing together as husband and wife vide compromise deed dated 29.07.2016.

Vide order dated 16.01.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Court below to get their statements

recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bhiwani, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State does not dispute genuineness of the compromise in view of report of the Court below.

Counsel for respondent No. 2 has conceded to the position.

A perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in that category of cases which can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view the authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in '*Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*', 2012(4) *R.C.R. (Criminal)* 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that criminal proceedings are put to an end.

In this view of the matter, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 0024 dated 30.09.2015 for offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 323 and 506 read with Section 34 IPC registered with Police Station Bhiwani District Bhiwani and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.07.2016, stand quashed qua the petitioners. The parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions of compromise.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

30.03.2017

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
whether reportable : Yes/No