

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-28635 OF 2016 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 24.07.2017**

Poonam Devi and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat from respondent No.4.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 19.08.2016, as an interim measure, had directed respondent No.2 to provide protection to the petitioners for a period of 07 days to enable them to seek necessary relief from the Sessions Court. Later CRM No.27714 of 2016 was preferred by respondent No.4 for modifying the order dated 19.08.2016 and take action against petitioner No.2 in the FIR registered against him. It was stated that petitioner No.2 was minor at the time of marriage.

While issuing notice in the application, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court had stayed the operation of order dated 19.08.2016.

Despite issuance of notice, nobody has put in appearance either on

behalf of the petitioners or private respondents, although the matter has been called twice. It appears that they are no longer interested in pursuing the petition. In any event, directions were issued to grant interim protection to the petitioners for a period of 07 days only to enable them to approach Sessions Court.

Consequently, no further directions are called for in the instant petition and the same is dismissed, at this stage.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

JULY 24, 2017
shalini

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : | YES/NO |
| 2. Whether reportable : | YES/NO |