

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CRM-M-27768-2017

DATE OF DECISION:02.08.2017

SEMO AND ANR.

... Petitioners

V.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Kathuria, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he would not press the petition on behalf of petitioner No.1 as she is an accused in FIR No.21 dated 24.01.2011 under Sections 307, 452, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of the Arms Act. However, he states that the petitioner No.2 is not required in any case and the police officials are harassing her.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that he shall be satisfied, if a direction be given to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 21.11.2016 (Annexure P-2) only qua the petitioner No.2 and take action in accordance with law.

Without making any comments on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar to look into the representation dated 21.11.2016 (Annexure P-2) qua the petitioner No.2 only and take action, if necessary, in accordance with law.

August 02, 2017

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No