

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27716 of 2017

Date of decision: December 05, 2017

Nitin Kumar @ Nitin Garg

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.349 dated 17.12.2014, under Sections 420, 409, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 406, 408, 467, 468, 471 of IPC added later on) and Section 13(1)(D) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Sadar Tohana, District Fatehabad. This Court had made an order dated 01.08.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused, namely Bharat Bhushan, Ashwani Kumar, Dalbir Singh, Om Parkash, Tarsem Singh, Anil Kumar, Jagdish Rai Singla and Satpal Singla have been granted the relief of anticipatory bail by Sessions Court and this Court, as under:-

“(i) *Bharat Bhushan granted anticipatory bail by the*

Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, vide order dated 15.09.2015;

- (ii) Ashwani Kumar granted anticipatory bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, vide order dated 28.04.2015;*
- (iii) Dalbir Singh granted anticipatory bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, vide order dated 30.05.2015;*
- (iv) Om Parkash granted anticipatory bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, vide order dated 14.09.2015;*
- (v) Tarsem Singh granted anticipatory bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, vide order dated 15.09.2015;*
- (vi) Anil Kumar granted anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 29.01.2016;*
- (vii) Jagdish Rai Singla granted anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 29.01.2016;*
- (viii) Satpal Singla granted anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 27.04.2015.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required. The FIR relates to the year 2014.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 01.08.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 05, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No