

CRM-M-27696-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27696-2017

Date of Decision: 09.08.2017

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. A.P.S.Deol, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.S.Virk, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Satnam Singh has filed this 2nd petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.93 dated 31.10.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Moga, District Moga, under Sections 307, 341 and 34 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and contested the instant petition.

Complainant has also appeared through his counsel. Learned counsel for the complainant has stated that compromise has taken place between the parties and he has no objection if bail is granted to the petitioner.

CRM-M-27696-2017

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that it is a case of version and cross-version. As per the allegations, the present petitioner fired twice upon the shoulders of complainant as well as of Amandeep.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the facts that it is a case of version and cross-version and it is yet to be determined by the trial Court on the basis of evidence as to who is the aggressor party; in view of the fact that compromise has taken place between the parties and further that the present petitioner has been in custody since 18.03.2017 and he is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes, I find that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case which will otherwise take a long time. Therefore, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

09.08.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No