

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27688-2017

Date of decision : 21.08.2017

Subham

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Ms.Savita Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, namely, Subham in FIR no.625 dated 12.06.2017 under Section 392 IPC registered at Police Station City Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 13.06.2017. The other co-accused namely Anil has already been admitted to regular bail by the trial Court. She further states that even otherwise the matter has been compromised with the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Chander Singh, does not dispute the custody part.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 13.06.2017 and the conclusion of trial is likely to take sufficient long time, without making any observation on the fact of compromise, the petitioner is

to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

August 21, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No