

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-27683 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision : 03.10.2017

Anoop Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

AND

CRM-M-29335 of 2017(O&M)

Date of decision : 03.10.2017

Jangir Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Sandeep, Advocate
for Mr. B.S.Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Saurabh Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL,J(Oral)

This order shall decide CRM-M-27683 of 2017, titled as 'Anoop Kaur and another Vs. State of Punjab' and CRM-M-29335 of 2017, titled as 'Jangir Kaur Vs. State of Punjab'. Facts are extracted from CRM-27683 of 2017 for the sake of convenience.

Petitioners in both the abovesaid petitions seek the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 8 dated 01.02.2014, under Sections 452, 323, 354-A, 427, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot.

It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case merely due to a property dispute with

complainant-Balkaran Kaur. Moreover, the petitioners have joined investigation pursuant to orders dated 01.08.2017 and 11.08.2017. Therefore, these petitions be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from HC Savinder Singh, verifies that there is a dispute regarding the property between the parties, who are otherwise related to each other. It is further verified that all the petitioners have joined investigation pursuant to orders dated 01.08.2017 and 11.08.2017. It is stated that their custodial interrogation is not required. No recovery is to be effected from them.

There is no allegation that the petitioners are likely to abscond or that they are likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition. Consequently, order dated 01.08.2017 in CRM-M-27683 of 2017 and 11.08.2017 in CRM-M-29335 of 2017, is made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

03.10.2017

s.khan

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No