

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27668-2017

Date of decision:06.09.2017

Suman and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present : Ms. Rajni Maurya, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

In pursuance to the order dated 31.07.2017, learned counsel for the petitioners has produced a photocopy of FDR dated 24.08.2017 issued by Bank of India for an amount of ₹50,000/- in the name of petitioner No.1-Suman.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that now the petitioners are leading a happy married life but because of some financial constraints, petitioner No.2 is not able to pay the remaining amount of ₹50,000/-.

On the other hand, Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 6 states that though in terms of interim order dated 31.07.2017, petitioner No.2 was required to bring FDR amounting to ₹1,00,000/-, however, now the respondents have assessed the financial viability of

the petitioners and they do not insist that condition any more. He further states that respondents No.4 to 6 have no objection to the matrimonial alliance.

In view of the clear stand of the parties, the condition of requiring petitioner No.2 to bring FDR for a sum of ₹1,00,000/- of a nationalised bank in favour of petitioner No.1, is modified and FDR of ₹50,000/- in the name of petitioner No.1 as produced by counsel for the petitioners, is accepted.

The petition accordingly stands disposed of.

However, in case petitioners still apprehend any threat to their lives, they are at liberty to approach Senior Superintendent of Police, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, who shall look into their grievances in accordance with law.

September 06, 2017
ps-I

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No