

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27573-2017
Date of Decision: 01.08.2017**

Neelam Rani and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Hem Raj Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Both the petitioners are present in person and identified by their counsel. They seek protection of their life and liberty by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, having married each other against the wishes of their respective family members respondent Nos.4 to 7, and so seek appropriate protection from the authorities. The petitioners have not submitted any representation in this regard to the Police Authorities. However, they are apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent alleged clout of their family members-respondents.

2. Both of them do appear to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the copies of documents filed and have married each other in support of which, photographs and Marriage Certificate issued by “Rama Krishana Mandir, Sector-19, Chandigarh” (Annexures P-3 & P-4) have been placed on record.

3. For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and in

view of the mandate contained in Article 21 of the Constitution of India to protect the citizen's right to life and liberty.

4. Though no representation has been made by the petitioners, however, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda is directed to take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

5. It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority as seen from the documents placed on record being their Matriculation Certificates and Aadhaar Cards. This would not *ipso facto* amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

6. The petition is disposed off with the above direction.

7. Registry is directed to send a copy of this petition to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, for necessary action.

01.08.2017

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No