

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

213

**CRM-M-27533 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:26.09.2017.**

Chaman Singh

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

Shekher Dhawan, J. (Oral)

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.81 dated 22.01.2017 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Karnal, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the allegations in the main case are against Shamsher Singh, Gurdeep Singh, Nishant and Rohit for committing fraud; no role was attributed to the present petitioner and he has been falsely implicated in the case. More so, the petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2017 and decision of the case still to take some more time. So he be released on bail.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant contended that the petitioner is infact the main accused who was member of the gang of Shamsher Singh and other accused in this case

and one separate complaint was filed by Dharmender Rana on 02.03.2017 against the present petitioner having similar allegation and as such, no case is made out for release of the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail.

Having considered the submission made by learned counsel for the parties and the fact that the present FIR is against Shamsheer Singh, Gurdeep Singh, Nishant and Rohit and there are no specific allegation of fraud and cheating against the present petitioner, it was during investigation that name of the present petitioner came into light. There was one more complaint by Dharmender Rana wherein similar allegation have been levelled against the present petitioner. Learned State counsel fairly conceded that by now the present petitioner has not been arrested in the complaint having been filed by Dharmender Rana; and the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2017 and decision of the case still to take some more time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody, therefore, he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Area Magistrate, Karnal.

The petition stands allowed.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

26.09.2017.

komal