

119.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27520-2017

Date of decision:31.07.2017

GURNAM SINGH AND ANR.

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The records reveal that though there is already a civil litigation between the parties i.e. a suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant from interfering and dispossessing the plaintiff from the land in dispute, however, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the said suit, there is an interim injunction in favour of the petitioners-complainants, but still respondent No.3 is calling the petitioners and pressurizing them to compromise the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), District Amritsar to decide petitioner's representation dated 14.06.2017 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to hand over requisite number of copies of paper book to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), District Amritsar, to look into the contents of representation dated 14.06.2017 (Annexure-P4) and to act in accordance with law.

31.07.2017
sanjeev

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No