

CRM NO. M-27499 OF 2017 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-27499 OF 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 01.11.2017

Balraj and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Gobind Dhanda, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 50 dated 21.05.2017, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 506, 307, 308 IPC, registered at Police Station Titram, District Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in FIR, no specific role has been assigned to the petitioners and it is stated therein that co-accused Dilbagh Singh was sitting on the chest of the brother of the complainant, while accused Pardeep was pressing his throat. He further states that it is a case of no injury and MLR does not indicate any serious injury on the person of the brother of the complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that this Court had sent the matter to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. The report of the Mediation Centre has been received, which indicates that the parties have settled their dispute.

Learned counsel for the petitioners and complainant are ad-idem that the matter has been finally settled but it will take about a month to fully execute the compromise.

This Court, vide order dated 31.07.2017, had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Wazir Singh, states that in pursuance to the order dated 31.07.2017 the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 31.07.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 01, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>