

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28335-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.01.2017

DIPNEET KAUR

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Mr. N.S. Goraya, Advocate for
Mr. Hemender Goswami, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Manish Prabhakar, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.415 dated 24.11.2015, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC'), at Police Station Civil Lines, Distt. Amritsar.

On 26.08.2016, the learned counsel for the petitioner made a statement that the petitioner was ready and willing to pay Rs.35 lakh in three months out of total amount of Rs.1,35,00,000/- to the complainant. Thereafter, the petitioner again made a submission that due to demonetization, the order dated 26.08.2016 could not be complied with and the matter was deferred for today. Even till date, the order dated 26.08.2016

has not been complied with. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks further time for compliance of the said order.

Heard.

Considering the fact that despite availing the sufficient opportunities by the petitioner, the order dated 26.08.2016 has not been complied with, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer made and to grant the relief sought by the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the present petition or file afresh as and when the order dated 26.08.2016 is complied with.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that compromise has been reached between the parties, however, there is no such document on record. In case, the compromise is there, the petitioner is free to avail the remedy available to him in accordance with law.

27.01.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No