

CRM-M No. 28320 of 2016
CRM-M No. 31493 of 2016

-1-

**255 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 28320 of 2016
Date of Decision: 16.02.2017

Sagar Kumar @ Nandi and othersPetitioners
Vs

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CRM-M No. 31493 of 2016

Ravi Kumar and othersPetitioners
Vs

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Swati Batra, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M No. 28320 of 2016).

Mr. A.P.S. Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M No. 31493 of 2016).

Mr.Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (oral)

Vide this order, CRM-M No. 28320 of 2016 titled as Sagar
Kumar @ Nandi and others vs State of Punjab and others and CRM-
M No. 31493 of 2016 titled as Ravi Kumar and others vs State of

Punjab and others are being decided.

Facts are being taken from CRM-M No. 28320 of 2016 titled as Sagar Kumar @ Nandi and others vs State of Punjab and others.

Prayer in these petitions is for quashing of FIR No. 134 dated 13.07.2016 registered under Sections 323/427/452/508/148/149 IPC at Police Station Model Town, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and its cross case arising from general diary No.18 dated 30.07.2016 registered under Sections 324/427/506 IPC at Police Station Model Town, District Ludhiana on the basis of compromise.

Vide order dated 26.10.2016, Investigating Agency was directed to report whether on the basis of statements of the parties, the Investigating Agency would opt to file cancellation report in this case or not?

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kulwinder Singh stated that both the parties have deposed before the Investigating Officer and a cancellation report has been prepared and is likely to be filed before the Illaqa Magistrate very shortly after

CRM-M No. 28320 of 2016
CRM-M No. 31493 of 2016

-3-

getting approval from the competent authority.

In view of aforesaid, no further order is required to be passed in these petitions and the same are therefore, rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to get the same revived in the event of finding any adverse action against them.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

16.02.2017

jjyoti Y.

Whether Reasoned/Speaking : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No