

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -2744 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.03.2017

Barjesh Mahey

...Petitioner

Versus

Balwinder Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the respondent.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in a complaint case No. 50 of 2016, titled as Balwinder Kumar Vs. Barjesh Mahey and others, registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B read with Section 34 of IPC, pending before the Court of JMIC, Jalandhar.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of orders dated 30.01.2017 and 09.02.2017, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has furnished bail/surety bonds.

The learned counsel for the respondent submits that the petitioner was paid Rs. 27 lakh for sending his son abroad. However, neither the amount has been returned nor his son was sent abroad.

Heard.

Considering the fact that that the petitioner has complied

with the orders dated 30.01.2017 and 09.02.2017 and that the transaction allegedly pertains to the year 2013 whereas the complaint was lodged in the year 2016, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide orders dated 30.01.2017 and 09.02.2017, is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

22.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No