

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-27407 of 2017
Date of Decision: 14.12.2017

Rasheed

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 to 8.

Ramendra Jain, J . (Oral)

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondents No. 5 to 8 is taken
on record.

None has appeared on behalf of respondents No. 9 and 10
despite their service.

Reply of the State perused. According to the same, the
petitioner initially raised suspicion on some different persons, who were
subjected to interrogation, but when nothing surfaced in their statements,
the petitioner named few other persons, namely, Narsu and Raju Khan. They
were also subjected to polygraph test, but nothing incriminating has come
against them indicating their involvement in the crime.

Call details of the the persons, named by the petitioner, were
also obtained and tracked. Still nothing incriminating could be found

against any of the persons. Deponent Anil Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kosli, is personally supervising the investigation in the instant petition.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Naresh Kumar, contends that the investigation in the instant case will be completed within one month and a cancellation /untraced report would be filed in the instant case.

Considering over all facts and circumstances, the petition is dismissed.

14.12.2017.
smriti

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No